


## Systematic literature review \& survey



## Selecting themes \& items

- Types of residences in your NH (3 items)
- Distance to local facilities (8 items)
- Walking or cycle infrastructure in your NH (4 items)
- Maintenance of infrastructure in your NH (3 items)
- NH safety (6 items)
- How pleasant is your NH (4 items)
- Cycling and walking network (4 items)
- Home environment (6 items)
- Workplace or study environment (10 items)


1st field testing : outcomes

- TEST-RETEST RELIABILITY
- PREDICTIVE VALIDITY
- FEASIBILITY

| Conclusions First field testing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | rate to good asting results < 7 min |
| - Short version: | reliability: <br> validity: <br> feasability: | moderate <br> $0.21-0.34$ with ipaq and acc good < 2 min |
| $\Rightarrow$ Rephrasing items for those with moderate results <br> $\Rightarrow$ Consensus second expertmeeting <br> $\Rightarrow$ Additional pilot testing |  |  |


Conclusions

- The reliability scores improved from the 1st field testing (ICC from
0.66 to 0.86 ) to the 2nd field testing (ICC from 0.71 to 0.87 ).
- \% agreement for short form also increased from the 1st field testing
(range $50-83 \%$ ) to the 2nd field testing (range $85-95 \%$ ).
- Predictive validity: significant with self-reported minutes of transport-
related walking, and objectively measured physical activity levels at
low intensity, particularly in women.
- Feasibility: less than 7 minutes for the 49-item version and less than
2 minutes for the short version.


## Manuscript in preparation

Heleen Spittaels, Maïté Verloigne, Christopher Gidlow, Julien Gloanec, Sylvia Titze, Charlie Foster, Jean-Michel Oppert, Harry
Rutter, Pekka Oja, Michael Sjöström, llse De Bourdeaudhuij
Measuring physical activity-related environmental factors: reliability and predictive validity of the European environmental questionnaire ALPHA




## FOR MONITORING

We recommend to include the Alpha environmental questionnaire as a monitoring tool in ongoing health surveys in every European country.

The survey should measure key domains such as walking \& cycling for transport and leisure time / work related physical activity

The Alpha $Q$ lasts on average 6 minutes to be completed and is the recommended form. If this is not possible also the Alpha short form can be used ( 1 to 2 minutes).

Recommendations

## FOR RESEARCH

The Alpha environmental questionnaire is a valid and reliable instrument to measure the build environment for research purposes in Europe.

The Alpha $Q$ is based on the NEWS but is much shorter and includes some specific EU items. For comparison purposes with US/AU the NEWS can also be used in EU preferably including also the specific items.

## Availability of the questionnaire

- Website
- Manual of operation
- Different languages:
- English
- Dutch
- French
- German
- Spanish
- Finnish

| International Expert group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Jim Sallis | (US) | Maria Hagstromer | (Sweden) |  |
| Neville Owen | (Australia) | Patrick Bergmann | (Sweden) |  |
| Klaus Gebel | (Germany/Australia) | Kristina Sundquist | (Sweden) |  |
| Fiona Bull | (UK/Australia) | Rachel Davy | (UK) |  |
| Pekka Oja | (Finland) | David Ogilvie | (UK) |  |
| Basile Chaix | (France) | Melvin Hillsdon | (UK) |  |
| Sylvia Titze | (Austria) | Roger Macket | (UK) |  |
| Frank Van Lenthe (Netherlands) | Andy Jones | (UK) |  |  |
|  |  | Andrea Backovic | (Slovenia) |  |
|  |  | Djomba Janet Klara | (Slovenia) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



## Introduction

- Existing literature on physical environment and PA in adults: mainly US and Australian studies
- Strong need for European studies
- Large differences in physical environments $\leftrightarrow$ US and Australia
- Differences in PA behaviour: cycling in Europe
- Belgium : Belgian Environmental Physical Activity Study (BEPAS)
- 1st large-scale European study on relation walkability PA - sedentary behaviour - BMI in adults



Results: neighbourhood walkability - PA

|  | High walkability (mean (SD)) | Low walkability (mean (SD)) | $\beta$ (SE) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IPAQ (min/week) |  |  |  |
| walking transport | 7.3 (169.2) | 37.6 (90.1) | $0.764(0.157)^{* * *}$ |
| cycling transport | 3 (126.7) | 43.9 (95.2) | 0.447 (0.105)*** |
| motor transport | 309.2 (295.3) | 344.8 (315.7) | $-0.125(0.067)^{*}$ |
| walking recreation | 85.3 (137.2) | 67.6 (128.4) | $0.334(0.111)^{* *}$ |
| Activity monitor (min/day) MVPA |  |  |  |
|  | . 6 (23.8) | 31.8 (23.1) | $0.095(0.030)^{* * *}$ |

$p<0.05 ; " p<0.01 ; \cdots p<0.001$

Results: walkability-sedentary behaviour -
BMI - waist circumference


Discussion \& conclusions

- Living in high walkable neighbourhoods:
- $80 \mathrm{~min} /$ week more walking for transport
- $40 \mathrm{~min} /$ week more cycling for transport
- $20 \mathrm{~min} /$ week more walking for recreation
- $35 \mathrm{~min} /$ week less motor transport
- $50 \mathrm{~min} /$ week more MVPA (accelerometer)
- Lower BMI, in men and women
- BUT ALSO
- $35 \mathrm{~min} /$ day more sitting time
- $20 \mathrm{~min} /$ day more inactivity (accelerometer)


## Discussion \& conclusions

- Results ~ Australian and US studies
- Clear relationship between walkability and PA in adults
- Walking AND cycling
- For transportation AND recreation
- Possibilities for future interventions: $=$ PA behaviours can be influenced


## Discussion \& conlusions

- Positive association with PA,

BUT negative association with sedentary behaviour

- Still: lower BMI in high walkable neighbourhoods
- Mediating effect of PA and sedentary behaviour?


Discussion \& conclusions

- Interactions walkability - SES
- No significant results
- Interesting finding
- Robust effects of walkability independent of SES
- Future interventions: both high and low SES neighbourhoods can profit

